

Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation Ergebnisse der Studierendenbefragung im Sommersemester 2019

Liebe Dozentin, lieber Dozent,


anbei erhalten Sie die Ergebnisse der Evaluation Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung. Zu dieser Lehrveranstaltung wurden 28 Bewertungen abgegeben.

In der Abbildung sind die relative und absolute Häufigkeit Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung dargestellt. Unter dem Balkendiagramm ist in blau der Mittelwert Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung abgebildet.


Mit freundlichen Grüßen



Das Team der Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation des Zentrums für Qualitätsanalyse









Legende









Fragen zu studentischen Beiträgen













Fragen zur Lehrperson: Die Lehrperson ...






Fragen zum Konzept des Seminars







Fragen zu verwendeten Hilfsmitteln



Fragen zum Studierverhalten



Allgemeine Fragen zum Seminar



Offene Kommentare zum Seminar

Was hat Ihnen am Seminar besonders gut gefallen? Was würden Sie verbessern?

- Better introduction to the topic
- reducing the pace of the seminar for example by reducing the number of presentations per day!

~~This bloc seminar was poorly organized.~~

- + vivid
- young lecturers
- female lecturers
- no mandatory presence
- organization

Although the lecturers seemed very enthusiastic & friendly, there was a lack of helpful comments, feedback, responses to our questions, criticism...

The basics were a lot & we went over them quickly, I didn't learn (or remember) a lot. Either drop that or do it more thoroughly. Altogether good, but

I liked that the instructor was a young, female person that is actively in research. She's very engaged & open to questions.

I also liked that we had to work a lot with papers and grasp their ideas.

I really enjoyed the seminars and would recommend it. I particularly liked, that the instructor was an alumna of our study programme, so she knew our situation very well. I would like her to teach the seminars also in upcoming years. The seminar itself comprises a lot of the subject-matter discussed earlier in our study programme, thus it works as a nice summary for many aspects.

Seminar leader is extremely competent and I enjoyed attending.

- the seminar was well structured using digital tools
- lecturer was enthusiastic about content and open to critical assessment

The topic is very interesting and the instructor is very dedicated and does a good job.

I would prefer it if less topics were covered and each topic was given more time.

Very constructive, dedicated and competent instructor!

I liked that Mrs. Chiopris was always available for questions.

Lecturer's enthusiasm is really good!

still difficult for students to define ~~to~~ what IPE and its features really are

I liked that it was during just work and it was just with the right amount of class hours.

However, I missed a little bit the introduction on the field of IPE. Other classes I had on this topic talked about ^{the} development of this research field as well. For example mentioned Susan Strange or focused on how a certain paper exactly relates to the field of IPE. Otherwise I really liked the atmosphere within the course! And it was great to hear so much about different paper topics.

- The teacher was very responsive to students' needs/wishes and was open for
- The ratio between input given by the teacher and the students' presentations ^{requests} was well chosen
- Exaggerating slightly, the seminar was the first economic seminar/class where I had the impression that economic models are able to explain phenomena observed in reality
- The teacher set the right priorities in the seminar, focussing on the central substantial aspects (e.g. of papers)
- The choice of papers was very US-biased, but the choice of papers in terms of ~~relevance + interest~~ ^{relevance + interest} was optimal.

I liked the lecturer's interaction with us students - it ~~was~~ ~~can~~ showed a lot of comprehension and attention while also challenging us academically. The only thing that could be improved from my point of view is a less strong focus on US-related topics.

The seminar was very well organized (forming of groups, distributing presentation topics) and the lecturer was always open to questions and ensuring that everything was well understood.

I think the input could ^{have} sometimes been more in depth, the main concepts were mainly presented superficially. The papers we discussed seemed ^{very} well chosen, they were interesting and covered a whole range of topics. Overall I really liked the seminar.

The lecturer was/is highly competent which made the seminar very enjoyable. She was always ready to help and answered all questions. The instructions and goals of the seminar were clearly formulated and understandable to all.

⇒ Very helpful instructor, lots of room for discussion. Great choice of literature

⊖ very fast pace of lectures.